SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, JANUARY 23, 2004 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S122110 WESTERN PACIFIC HOUSING, INC. v. S.C.

B172359 Second Appellate District, (RIVERPARK HOLDINGS)

Division Three Petition for review and application for stay denied

S120845 DIXON v. U.C. REGENTS

Division Seven

Division Three

Division Five

B161390 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

to March 1, 2004

S120854 RALPHS GROCERY v. S.C. (SWANSON)

B168257 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

Division Seven to March 1, 2004.

S120954 SAHLOLBEI v. PROVIDENCE

E032525 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

Division Two to March 2, 2004.

S121138 REISWIG v. FERENTZ

G030233 Fourth Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

to March 12, 2004.

S121188 PEOPLE v. BRITTAIN

B163269 Second Appellate District, Time extended to grant or deny review

to March 12, 2004

S030402 PEOPLE v. TULLY (RICHARD C.)

Extension of time granted

to 2/20/2004 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, no further extension will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

PEOPLE v. THOMPSON (CATHERINE) Extension of time granted

to March 22, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Gail R. Weinheimer's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 5/20/2004. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S065720

PEOPLE v. VINES (SEAN VENYETTE) Extension of time granted

to March 22, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only five further extensions totaling 300 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S066527

PEOPLE v. LINDBERG (GUNNER J.) Extension of time granted

to March 26, 2004 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only one further extension totaling 60 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S111336

FIGUEROA (VICENTE B.) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to February 20, 2004 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. Extension is granted based

upon counsel Michael Laurence's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 2/20/2004. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S112816

G027714 Fourth Appellate District, G027834 Division Three

VENDANTA SOCIETY v. CALIFORNIA QUARTET Extension of time granted

On application of appellant/respondent Vedanta Society of Southern California and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply briefs on the merits is extended to and including March 1, 2004.

S116529

NOGUERA (WILLIAM A.) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to February 23, 2004 the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling about 60 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S119354

STEVENS (CHARLES) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to February 20, 2004 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 40 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Barry M. Karl's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 3/30/2004.

S121543

H023716 Sixth Appellate District

VANT ROOD v. COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA Extension of time granted

appellant to January 30, 2004 to file the answer to the petition for review.

BEASLEY ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **ROBERT D. BEASLEY**. State Bar No. 182037, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, and until he makes restitution to Robert or Yvette Trevorrow (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$2500 plus 10% interest per annum from July 3, 2000, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar, Office of Probation in Los Angeles, and until he makes restitution to Harold Jensen (or the Client Security Fund, if appropriate) in the amount of \$500 plus 10% interest per annum from October 1999, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the State Bar, Office of Probation in Los Angeles, and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 20 months and until he makes restitution and provides proof as set forth above, and until he provides proof of compliance with standard 1.4(c)(ii) as set forth above, and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on July 17, 2003. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for termination of his actual suspension. .Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

GILLEN ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **THOMAS WILLIAM** GILLEN, State Bar No. 152569, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including restitution, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on September 16, 2003. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S120270

LEVINSON ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **DEBORAH GWYN** LEVINSON, State Bar No. 123773, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until she complies with the requirements of standard 1.4(c)(ii), as set forth more fully below, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for three years on condition that she be actually suspended for two years and until she has shown proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of her rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Deborah Gwyn Levinson is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on August 13, 2003. Deborah Gwyn Levinson is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the

California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar and one third of said costs shall be added to and become part of the membership fees for the years 2005, 2006, and 2007. (Bus. & Prof. Code section 6086.10.)
*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S120271

WHEELER ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that BOBBY OREN WHEELER, JR., State Bar No. 140726, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for 45 days and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on August 27, 2003. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of respondent's actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) If respondent is actually suspended for 90 days or more, it is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in

subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 120 and 130 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S120272

VAN CAMP ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that ALLEN C. VAN CAMP, State Bar No. 126443, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on September 9, 2003. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2005 and 2006.

S120274

GARCIA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that **ERNEST DAVID GARCIA**, **State Bar No. 88416**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

CHOI ON RESIGNATION

Resignation accepted with disc. proceeding pending

The voluntary resignation of MICHAEL SEOK CHOI, State Bar No. 186518, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted without prejudice to further proceedings in any disciplinary proceeding pending against respondent should he hereafter seek reinstatement. It is ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is filed.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)